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RACE & LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE URBAN COMMUNITY
Race & Law Enforcement
In the Urban Community
THE MACP: HISTORY AND PURPOSE

- Founded in 1893
- To advance the science and art of police administration and crime prevention
- To foster police cooperation, unity of action, and exchange of information
- To bring about recruitment and training of the police profession
- To seek legislation to benefit the citizens of the state or law enforcement in general
- To develop and disseminate approved administrative and technical practices and promote their use in police work
The MACP Law Enforcement Accreditation Program
What is Accreditation?

A program designed with consideration for the following goals:

• To establish and maintain standards that represent *current professional law enforcement practices*;

• To *increase effectiveness and efficiency* in the delivery of law enforcement services;

• To establish standards that address and *reduce liability* for the agency and its members.

Michigan Association of Chiefs of Police
How does accreditation work?

The foundation of Accreditation lies in the *voluntary* adoption of *standards* containing a clear statement of *professional objectives*.
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What is the general process?

Participating agencies conduct a thorough self-analysis to determine how existing operations can be adapted to meet these objectives.

When the procedures are in place, a team of trained assessors verifies that applicable standards have been successfully implemented.
What are Benefits of Accreditation?

1. Objective, outside **stamp of approval** earned through diligent internal and then external evaluation based on the Standards.

2. **Decrease** litigation and exposure.

3. Continual **self assessment** of the agency.

4. **Liability** costs.

5. Enhanced **knowledge** of written directives.

6. Broaden employee **perspective**.

7. **Public** confidence, increased effectiveness, credibility in government.
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What are the actual steps in the process?

There are five steps:

• **The Application Phase**
  • Application, Fee

• **The Self-Analyses Phase** - (Up to 24 months)
  • Standard Compliance, Directives, Proofs, Mock

• **The On-site Assessment Phase**
  • Two Assessors, Two days, Verbal, Written Report

• **The Commission Review/Decision Phase**
  • Award

• **The Maintaining Compliance and Re-accreditation Phase**
  • Annual Reports
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Michigan Law Enforcement Accreditation Commission

**Police Members:**

- Chief James Blocker       Battle Creek Police Department
- Chief Joel Jett                 Alpena Police Department
- Chief David Rahinsky Grand Rapids Police Department
- Chief Michael Reaves     Port Huron Police Department
- Chief R. Blake Rieboldt Marquette Police Department
- Chief Lisa Sherman        Charlotte Police Department

**Community:**

- Ms. JillAnne Bauer          Eastern Michigan University PSSC
- Mr. Michael Bertha          MMRMA
- Pastor James Friedman Greater Christian Ministries
- Mr. David Harvey           MCOLES
- Mr. Mike Wendling          Michigan Prosecutors Association
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Departments in the Accreditation Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton Harbor PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomfield Hills DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington Hills PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mott Community College DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northville Township DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Huron PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford DPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseville PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturgis PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse City PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westland PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wixom PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolverine Lake PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of M Flint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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What are the standards for accreditation?

Michigan Association of Chiefs of Police
MICHIGAN LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

Standards Manual

Under the Direction of
THE MICHIGAN LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCREDITATION COMMISSION
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What are the standards for accreditation?

The standards address:

• The **Administrative Function**
• The **Personnel Function**
• The **Operations Function**
• The **Investigative Function**
• The **Arrestee/Prisoner Handling Function**
What are some areas the standards for accreditation address?

• Use of Force, Authority and Jurisdiction
• Recruitment, Selection, and Promotion of Personnel
• Training, Discipline and Internal Affairs
• Patrol and Criminal Investigations
• Victim/Witness Assistance
What do the standards for accreditation address?

- Traffic Operations
- Prisoner Transportation and Holding Facilities
- Records and Communications
- Collection and Preservation of Evidence
- Property and Evidence Control
1.3 - INTERNAL AFFAIRS

1.3.1 Internal Affairs

A written directive requires the agency:

- To ensure that the person in charge of investigating employee misconduct or internal affairs function has direct access to the agency’s Chief Executive Officer, with exceptions noted;
- To accept and investigate all complaints against the agency or its employees, to include anonymous complaints;
- To make a determination of disposition of all complaints;
- Outline and stipulate the complaint process from receipt of the citizen’s complaint through the complaint’s closure and notification of disposition to the citizen.
- To specify when an employee can be immediately suspended pending investigation and disposition;
- To protect and maintain the confidentiality of all internal affairs records against the agency or employees in a secure area accessible only to personnel authorized by the agency’s Chief Executive Officer; and
- Requires the Chief Executive Officer or designee to complete a written annual analysis of all employee misconduct complaints and dispositions.

Clarification Statement: Confidentiality during the investigation is critical. The community must have confidence in the agency to conduct thorough, objective, and complete investigations on the conduct of an officer or agency employee. Dispositions may include sustained, unfounded, exonerated, policy failure, or not sustained.
1.5.4 Bias Influenced Policing Prohibited

A written directive addresses bias influenced policing and, at a minimum, includes the following provisions:

a. A clear definition of bias influenced policing;
b. A prohibition against bias influenced policing in all law enforcement encounters;
c. A requirement that investigations into possible bias influenced policing are conducted in accordance with Internal Affairs;
d. Corrective actions if bias influenced policing is found to have occurred; and
e. Training agency personnel in the impropriety of bias influenced policing, including legal requirements and sanctions at a minimum of every three years;

Clarification Statement: The discredited practice that is commonly referred to as Bias Influenced Policing is a longstanding criminal justice issue that needs to be addressed by every law enforcement agency in every jurisdiction. It is the sworn duty of every law enforcement agency and officer to protect the civil rights of all persons, and to safeguard the inalienable right to the equal protection of the laws.

If a law enforcement officer were to rely upon a person’s race, ethnicity, religion, or gender when making decisions and exercising law enforcement discretion, the result would be to undermine public confidence in the fairness and integrity of the criminal justice system, alienate significant segments of society, foster disrespect for law enforcement authority and the institutions of government, and ultimately erode public support for law enforcement efforts to investigate and deter crime.
3.3.3 Meaningful Review Required

The agency has a written procedure for a documented meaningful review of each use-of-force incident and any report or incident required by Standard 3.3.2.

Clarification Statement: Use of Force should never be considered routine. Hence, the use of force review process should not be routine. The intent of the documented meaningful review is to determine whether policy, training, equipment or disciplinary issues need to be addressed. The meaningful review can be conducted by a supervisor, commanding officer, review committee, or the internal affairs function. The reviewing official should be one rank above the officer using force. If a command rank officer or the agency CEO uses force, the internal affairs function or a review committee should conduct the meaningful review. See Glossary of terms for a definition of meaningful review.
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QUESTIONS?

THANK YOU!